



TIER 1 FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
VOLUME 2

Table of Contents

Contents

Summary

S.1	TIER 1 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	S-1
S.2	CONSIDERATION OF OTHER TRANSPORTATION MODES AND FREIGHT RAIL SERVICE	S-3
S.3	AGENCY AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	S-3
S.4	NEED FOR NEC FUTURE	S-4
S.5	STATEMENT OF PURPOSE AND NEED	S-5
S.6	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	S-6
S.6.1	Service Types	S-11
S.6.2	Technology	S-12
S.7	ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	S-12
S.7.1	Transportation Effects	S-12
S.7.2	Economic Effects	S-14
S.7.3	Environmental Consequences	S-16
S.7.4	Construction Effects	S-23
S.7.5	Costs	S-25
S.7.6	Comparison of Alternatives: Summary of Findings	S-26
S.7.7	Phasing and Implementation	S-32
S.8	NEXT STEPS	S-33

1. Introduction

1.1	WHAT IS THE NEC FUTURE PROGRAM?	1-1
1.2	THE REGIONAL AND NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE NORTHEAST CORRIDOR	1-2
1.3	HOW WAS THE PROGRAM INITIATED?	1-4
1.3.1	Federal Railroad Administration’s Involvement	1-5
1.3.2	Federal Transit Administration’s Involvement	1-5
1.3.3	Planning Context	1-5
1.4	WHAT ARE THE ALTERNATIVES UNDER CONSIDERATION?	1-7
1.5	HOW ARE FREIGHT RAILROAD OPERATIONS CONSIDERED IN NEC FUTURE?	1-8
1.6	WHAT IS A TIER 1 EIS? HOW IS IT DIFFERENT FROM OTHER EIS DOCUMENTS?	1-8
1.7	HOW WILL THE FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION SELECT A VISION FOR THE NORTHEAST CORRIDOR?	1-9
1.8	WHO ARE THE STAKEHOLDERS IN THE NEC FUTURE PROGRAM?	1-9
1.9	WHO ARE POTENTIAL FUTURE PROJECT SPONSORS? HOW CAN THEY USE THIS TIER 1 EIS?	1-10
1.10	WHAT ARE THE NEXT STEPS AFTER PUBLICATION OF THE TIER 1 DRAFT EIS?	1-12
1.11	WHAT IS ENVISIONED AFTER THIS PROCESS IS COMPLETE?	1-12
1.12	WHAT IS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT’S ROLE IN RELATED ACTIONS AFTER THE TIER 1 EIS PROCESS IS COMPLETE?	1-13

2. Readers’ Guide

2.1	ORGANIZATION OF THIS TIER 1 DRAFT EIS	2-1
2.1.1	Main Body	2-1
2.1.2	Appendices	2-2
2.2	KEY CONCEPTS	2-3
2.2.1	Environmental Level of Detail and Analysis	2-3
2.2.2	Horizon Year	2-5
2.2.3	Existing NEC, Representative Routes, Affected Environment, and Context Area	2-5
2.2.4	Data Sources and Geographic Information System Tools	2-7
2.2.5	Alternatives Development and Terminology.....	2-7

3. Purpose and Need

3.1	OVERVIEW	3-1
3.2	DEVELOPMENT OF THE PURPOSE AND NEED	3-2
3.3	PURPOSE OF THE NEC FUTURE PROGRAM AND PROPOSED ACTION	3-3
3.4	THE NEED FOR PASSENGER RAIL IMPROVEMENTS	3-3
3.4.1	Aging Infrastructure	3-5
3.4.2	Insufficient Capacity	3-7
3.4.3	Gaps in Connectivity	3-10
3.4.4	Compromised Performance	3-12
3.4.5	Lack of Resiliency	3-14
3.4.6	Environmental Sustainability	3-15
3.4.7	Economic Growth	3-17

4. Alternatives Considered

4.1	ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT PROCESS OVERVIEW	4-1
4.1.1	Initial Alternatives	4-3
4.1.2	Preliminary Alternatives	4-5
4.1.3	No Action and Action Alternatives	4-7
4.1.4	Balancing Near-Term and Long-Term Needs	4-9
4.2	CHARACTERISTICS OF ACTION ALTERNATIVES	4-10
4.2.1	Markets Served	4-11
4.2.2	Service and Station Types	4-12
4.2.3	Infrastructure Elements	4-27
4.2.4	Representative Route	4-27
4.2.5	Ancillary Facilities and Supporting Structures	4-31
4.3	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	4-33
4.3.1	Disinvestment Scenario	4-35
4.4	ACTION ALTERNATIVES	4-36
4.4.1	Alternative 1	4-36
4.4.2	Alternative 2	4-36
4.4.3	Alternative 3	4-36
4.5	MARKETS AND SERVICE	4-38
4.5.1	No Action Alternative	4-38
4.5.2	Alternative 1	4-41
4.5.3	Alternative 2	4-41
4.5.4	Alternative 3	4-42
4.5.5	Stations/Metropolitan Areas Served	4-43
4.6	INFRASTRUCTURE ELEMENTS	4-44
4.6.1	Alternative 1	4-45
4.6.2	Alternative 2	4-48
4.6.3	Alternative 3	4-51
4.7	GEOGRAPHIC DEPICTION AND ORIENTATION OF ALTERNATIVES	4-54
4.7.1	No Action Alternative	4-54
4.7.2	Alternative 1	4-62
4.7.3	Alternative 2	4-64
4.7.4	Alternative 3	4-70
4.8	COST	4-78
4.8.1	Capital Costs	4-78
4.8.2	Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Costs	4-79
4.9	PRESENTATION OF ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS	4-80

5. Transportation

5.1	INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.1.1	Approach to Transportation Effects Assessment	5-2
5.1.2	Transportation Effects-Assessment Methodology	5-3
5.2	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	5-4
5.2.1	Travel Trends.....	5-5
5.2.2	Metropolitan-Area to Metropolitan-Area Travel	5-8
5.2.3	Highway and Intercity Bus.....	5-10
5.2.4	Air.....	5-12
5.2.5	Public Transit.....	5-13
5.2.6	Passenger Rail.....	5-14
5.2.7	Freight	5-19
5.3	TRANSPORTATION EFFECTS	5-20
5.3.1	Corridor-wide Analysis	5-21
5.3.1.2	Metropolitan-Area to Metropolitan	5-22
5.3.2	Stations Analysis.....	5-24
5.4	TIER 2 ASSESSMENTS	5-41

6. Economic Effects and Growth, and Indirect Effects

6.1	INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.1.1	Definitions	6-4
6.1.2	Effects-Assessment Methodology	6-5
6.2	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	6-7
6.3	ECONOMIC EFFECTS AND INDIRECT EFFECTS	6-9
6.3.1	Introduction	6-9
6.3.2	Construction Effects	6-10
6.3.3	Rail Sector Employment Effects	6-14
6.3.4	Travel Market Effects	6-17
6.3.5	Economic Development Response	6-28
6.3.6	Indirect Effects	6-49

7 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Strategies

INTRODUCTION AND GUIDE TO EFFECTS ASSESSMENT		7-i
	Definition of Existing NEC, Representative Route, Affected Environment, and Context Area	7-i
	Calculation of Environmental Consequences	7-v
	Approach to the Analysis of the No Action Alternative	7-vi
	Level of Detail and Data Considerations.....	7-viii
	How to Read this Chapter	7-ix
	Organization of this Chapter.....	7-x
7.1	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
7.1.1	Introduction	7.1-1
7.1.2	Summary of Findings.....	7.1-1
7.2	LAND COVER	
7.2.1	Introduction	7.2-1
7.2.2	Resource Overview.....	7.2-3
7.2.3	Affected Environment	7.2-5
7.2.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.2-7
7.2.5	Context Area.....	7.2-14
7.2.6	State and Regional Plan Analysis.....	7.2-14
7.2.7	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.2-15
7.2.8	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.2-16
7.3	AGRICULTURAL LANDS (PRIME FARMLAND AND TIMBERLAND)	
7.3.1	Introduction	7.3-1

7.3.2	Resource Overview.....	7.3-2
7.3.3	Affected Environment.....	7.3-2
7.3.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.3-4
7.3.5	Prime Farmland and Prime Timberland Land Use Plan Review.....	7.3-10
7.3.6	Context Area.....	7.3-11
7.3.7	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.3-11
7.3.8	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.3-11
7.4	PARKLANDS AND WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS	
7.4.1	Introduction.....	7.4-1
7.4.2	Resource Overview.....	7.4-2
7.4.3	Affected Environment.....	7.4-3
7.4.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.4-5
7.4.5	Context Area.....	7.4-20
7.4.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.4-22
7.4.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.4-23
7.5	HYDROLOGIC/WATER RESOURCES	
7.5.1	Introduction.....	7.5-1
7.5.2	Resource Overview.....	7.5-3
7.5.3	Affected Environment.....	7.5-4
7.5.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.5-16
7.5.5	Context Area.....	7.5-24
7.5.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.5-24
7.5.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.5-25
7.6	ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
7.6.1	Introduction.....	7.6-1
7.6.2	Resource Overview.....	7.6-2
7.6.3	Affected Environment.....	7.6-4
7.6.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.6-11
7.6.5	Context Area.....	7.6-22
7.6.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.6-23
7.6.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis and ESA Section 7 compliance.....	7.6-23
7.7	GEOLOGIC RESOURCES	
7.7.1	Introduction.....	7.7-1
7.7.2	Resource Overview.....	7.7-2
7.7.3	Affected Environment.....	7.7-3
7.7.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.7-4
7.7.5	Context Area.....	7.7-5
7.7.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.7-7
7.7.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.7-7
7.8	HAZARDOUS WASTE AND CONTAMINATED MATERIAL	
7.8.1	Introduction.....	7.8-1
7.8.2	Resource Overview.....	7.8-3
7.8.3	Affected Environment.....	7.8-3
7.8.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.8-11
7.8.5	Context Area.....	7.8-17
7.8.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.8-17
7.8.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.8-18
7.9	CULTURAL RESOURCES AND HISTORIC PROPERTIES	
7.9.1	Introduction.....	7.9-1
7.9.2	Resource Overview.....	7.9-5
7.9.3	Affected Environment.....	7.9-6
7.9.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.9-9
7.9.5	Context Area.....	7.9-18
7.9.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.9-19
7.9.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.9-19

7.10	VISUAL AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES	
7.10.1	Introduction.....	7.10-1
7.10.2	Resource Overview.....	7.10-2
7.10.3	Affected Environment.....	7.10-2
7.10.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.10-3
7.10.5	Context Area.....	7.10-10
7.10.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.10-10
7.10.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.10-11
7.11	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	
7.11.1	Introduction.....	7.11-1
7.11.2	Resource Overview.....	7.11-2
7.11.3	Affected Environment.....	7.11-5
7.11.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.11-7
7.11.5	Context Area.....	7.11-15
7.11.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.11-16
7.11.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.11-16
7.12	NOISE AND VIBRATION	
7.12.1	Introduction.....	7.12-1
7.12.2	Resource Overview.....	7.12-2
7.12.3	Affected Environment.....	7.12-2
7.12.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.12-5
7.12.5	Context Area.....	7.12-20
7.12.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.12-20
7.12.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.12-20
7.13	AIR QUALITY	
7.13.1	Introduction.....	7.13-1
7.13.2	Resource Overview.....	7.13-4
7.13.3	Criteria Pollutants.....	7.13-7
7.13.4	Mobile-Source Air Toxics.....	7.13-14
7.13.5	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	7.13-16
7.13.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.13-18
7.13.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.13-19
7.14	ENERGY	
7.14.1	Introduction.....	7.14-1
7.14.2	Resource Overview.....	7.14-2
7.14.3	Affected Environment.....	7.14-3
7.14.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.14-5
7.14.5	Potential Mitigation.....	7.14-6
7.14.6	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.14-7
7.15	CLIMATE CHANGE AND ADAPTATION	
7.15.1	Introduction.....	7.15-1
7.15.2	Resource Overview.....	7.15-5
7.15.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	7.15-10
7.15.4	Inundation Risks to Rail Infrastructure.....	7.15-10
7.15.5	Stations at Risk.....	7.15-32
7.15.6	Context Area.....	7.15-33
7.15.7	Extreme Temperature Effects on Rail Infrastructure.....	7.15-34
7.15.8	Conclusions.....	7.15-36
7.15.9	Potential Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies.....	7.15-38
7.15.10	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.15-39
7.16	SECTION 4(f) AND SECTION 6(f) RESOURCES	
7.16.1	Section 4(f) Resources.....	7.16-1
7.16.2	Section 6(f) Resources.....	7.16-21

7.17	ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE ASSESSMENT	
7.17.1	Introduction.....	7.17-1
7.17.2	Resource Overview.....	7.17-3
7.17.3	Affected Environment.....	7.17-4
7.17.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.17-4
7.17.5	Context Area.....	7.17-9
7.17.6	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.17-9
7.17.7	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.17-9
7.18	SAFETY	
7.18.1	Introduction.....	7.18-1
7.18.2	Resource Overview.....	7.18-2
7.18.3	Affected Environment.....	7.18-3
7.18.4	Environmental Consequences.....	7.18-8
7.18.5	Potential Mitigation Strategies.....	7.18-10
7.18.6	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.18-11
7.19	SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEALTH EFFECTS	
7.19.1	Introduction.....	7.19-1
7.19.2	Qualitative Effects of Public Health.....	7.19-1
7.19.3	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.19-3
7.20	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	
7.20.1	Introduction.....	7.20-1
7.20.2	Resource Overview.....	7.20-1
7.20.3	Cumulative Effects.....	7.20-3
7.20.4	Summary.....	7.20-24
7.20.5	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.20-24
7.21	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	
7.21.1	Introduction.....	7.21-1
7.21.2	Definition of Resource.....	7.21-1
7.21.3	Commitment of Resources.....	7.21-1
7.21.4	Subsequent Tier 2 Analysis.....	7.21-2
8.	Construction Effects	
8.1	INTRODUCTION.....	8-1
8.2	CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW.....	8-1
8.2.1	Construction Types.....	8-1
8.2.2	Construction Impacts on Train Operations.....	8-5
8.3	STATION CONSTRUCTION.....	8-6
8.4	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.....	8-7
8.5	TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING.....	8-8
8.6	CONSTRUCTION STAGING AREAS.....	8-8
8.7	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EFFECTS.....	8-10
8.8	POTENTIAL MITIGATION.....	8-13
8.9	SUBSEQUENT TIER 2 ANALYSIS.....	8-14
9.	Evaluation of Alternatives	
9.1	A VISION FOR THE NORTHEAST CORRIDOR.....	9-1
9.2	OVERALL DECISION-MAKING PROCESS.....	9-1
9.2.1	Presentation of Evaluation.....	9-2
9.2.2	Technology Considerations.....	9-3
9.3	EVALUATION FRAMEWORK.....	9-3
9.3.1	Factors and Metrics.....	9-4
9.4	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	9-6
9.4.1	Need-Based Factors.....	9-6
9.4.2	Other Factors.....	9-32

9.5	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	9-40
9.5.1	Discussion of Key Findings/Differences across Alternatives	9-40
9.5.2	Key Findings by Need	9-46
10.	Phasing and Implementation	
10.1	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	10-2
10.2	UNIVERSAL FIRST PHASE	10-3
10.2.1	Service Benefits	10-7
10.2.2	Transportation Benefits.....	10-9
10.2.3	Capital Cost.....	10-9
10.3	IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES AND CHALLENGES	10-10
10.3.1	Coordinated Corridor-Wide Planning	10-11
10.3.2	Construction Staging and Planning Strategies	10-12
10.4	DIFFERENCES IN DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION BETWEEN THE ACTION ALTERNATIVES	10-14
11.	Agency and Public Involvement	
11.1	INTRODUCTION	11-1
11.2	OVERVIEW AND APPROACH TO PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY CONSULTATION	11-1
11.3	SCOPING PROCESS	11-2
11.3.1	Public Scoping Meetings.....	11-3
11.3.2	Agency Scoping Meetings.....	11-4
11.3.3	Extension of Comment Period.....	11-4
11.3.4	Comments Received	11-4
11.3.5	Effect of Scoping Comments on Tier 1 EIS Development	11-5
11.4	AGENCY CONSULTATION	11-5
11.4.1	Lead and Cooperating Agencies	11-6
11.4.2	Federal Agencies.....	11-7
11.4.3	Northeast Corridor Commission.....	11-7
11.4.4	State Transportation Agencies and Railroad Operators	11-7
11.4.5	Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Local Governments.....	11-9
11.4.6	Agency Update Meetings	11-10
11.4.7	Environmental Resource and Regulatory Agency Engagement Process	11-11
11.4.8	Section 106 Consultation.....	11-13
11.4.9	Section 4(f) Coordination	11-13
11.4.10	Section 7 Coordination	11-13
11.5	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (BEYOND SCOPING)	11-13
11.5.1	Communication Tools.....	11-14
11.5.2	Regional Public Workshops	11-15
11.5.3	Public Open Houses.....	11-18
11.5.4	Rail Station Outreach.....	11-20
11.6	OTHER STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS, BRIEFINGS, AND PRESENTATIONS	11-21
11.6.1	Economic Development Workshops	11-21
11.6.2	Presentations to Interested Organizations.....	11-22
11.7	TARGETED OUTREACH TO ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE POPULATIONS	11-23
11.8	DOCUMENTATION	11-25
12.	References	
13.	Glossary	
14.	List of Preparers	
15.	Index	

Appendices

Appendix A, Mapping Atlas

Appendix B, Alternatives Documentation

Appendix C, Transportation

Appendix D, Economic Effects

Appendix E, Environmental Resource Documentation

Appendix F, Agency and Public Involvement

Appendix G, Section 106 Documentation

Appendix H, Preliminary Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluations

Tables

Table S-1:	Number of Annual One-Way Trips (1,000s) by Service Type for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040).....	S-13
Table S-2:	Number of Annual One-Way Trips (1,000s) by Service Type for the Alternative 3 Route Options (2040)	S-13
Table S-3:	Environmental Resources and Limits of Affected Environment.....	S-16
Table S-4:	Summary of Key Resource Findings by Action Alternative.....	S-21
Table S-5:	Capital Costs – Action Alternatives (\$2014 billions).....	S-25
Table S-6:	Capital Costs – Alternative 3 Route Options (\$2014 billions) (end-to-end costs)	S-25
Table S-7:	Summary of Alternatives – Characteristics and Evaluation Factors	S-27
Table 3-1:	Critical Infrastructure Needs on the Northeast Corridor.....	3-6
Table 3-2:	Peak and Off-Peak Weekday Inbound Commuter-Rail Arrivals at Penn Station New York (1990–2012)	3-9
Table 3-3:	Comparative Travel Times by Mode.....	3-13
Table 3-4:	Public Transportation Cost Savings (2011)	3-17
Table 4-1:	Initial Alternatives Building Blocks	4-3
Table 4-2:	Summary of Preliminary Alternatives.....	4-5
Table 4-3:	Preliminary Alternatives Evaluation Criteria	4-7
Table 4-4:	Rolling Stock Assumptions Used for Service Planning Purposes	4-16
Table 4-5:	NEC FUTURE Stations.....	4-22
Table 4-6:	Footprint Width of the Representative Route.....	4-29
Table 4-7:	Potential Intercity Rail Storage and Maintenance Facilities.....	4-32
Table 4-8:	Trains per Peak Hour, Existing and 2040 Peak-Hour Peak Direction at Select Screenlines – All Alternatives	4-39
Table 4-9:	Trains per Peak Hour, 2040 Peak-Hour Peak Direction at Select Screenlines – Alternative 3 Route Options	4-40
Table 4-10:	Existing NEC Stations (excluding Connecting Corridors) Served under the No Action Alternative	4-43
Table 4-11:	Station Type for No Action and Action Alternatives.....	4-44
Table 4-12:	Mapping Atlas Reference Guide	4-55
Table 4-13:	Capital Costs – Action Alternatives (\$2014 billions).....	4-79
Table 4-14:	Capital Costs – Alternative 3 Route Options (\$2014 billions) (end-to-end costs)	4-79
Table 4-15:	Annual Intercity O&M Costs and Revenues by Alternative (\$2014 millions)	4-79
Table 4-16:	Annual Intercity O&M Costs and Revenues – Alternative 3 (\$2014 millions).....	4-80
Table 5-1:	Existing Intercity Tripmaking (1,000s) by Transportation Mode (2012).....	5-5
Table 5-2:	Daily Observed Average Commuter Behavior (2012).....	5-5
Table 5-3:	Change in Commuting Patterns (2006–2012)	5-6
Table 5-4:	Travel Trends (2006–2012).....	5-7
Table 5-5:	Rates of Walking to Work by Top Ten Cities Ranked (2008–2012)	5-8
Table 5-6:	Airport Enplanements by Airport (2006, 2012, 2040)	5-12
Table 5-7:	Air Travel by Airport Pair (2012).....	5-13
Table 5-8:	Ridership and Trip Length Characteristics by Rail Carrier (2012)	5-14

Table 5-9: Intercity Ridership by Station (2006–2012).....5-16

Table 5-10: Annual Passengers by Regional Rail Service Provider (2006 and 2012).....5-17

Table 5-11: Freight Movement by Metropolitan Area (2011).....5-19

Table 5-12: Projected Freight Growth (2011–2040).....5-20

Table 5-13: Annual Trips (1,000s) by Mode for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040).....5-21

Table 5-14: Annual Trips (1,000s) by Mode for Alternative 3 Route Options (2040).....5-22

Table 5-15: Annual Intercity Trips by Selected Metropolitan Area Pair for the No Action Alternative (total) and Action Alternatives (difference from the No Action Alternative)(2040)5-23

Table 5-16: Highest Intercity Frequency Alternative by Representative Station (2040).....5-27

Table 5-17: Changes in Service Types and/or Frequency by Representative Station in the Action Alternatives Compared to the No Action Alternative (2040)5-30

Table 5-18: Average Intercity Travel Time (Hours:Minutes) by Representative Station-Pair (2040).....5-34

Table 5-19: Average Number of Intercity Trains Per Day by Representative Station-Pair for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040)5-36

Table 5-20: Change in Intercity Service by Representative Station-Pair for the Action Alternatives (2040)5-37

Table 5-21: Annual Intercity One-Way Trips by Representative Station-Pairs for the No Action Alternative and Action Alternatives (2040)5-40

Table 5-22: Annual Regional Rail Trips by Selected Metropolitan Areas for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040).....5-41

Table 5-23: Daily Two-Way Trips at Select Station Screenlines for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040)5-41

Table 6-1: Potential Construction Employment Impacts.....6-13

Table 6-2: Potential Construction Earnings Impacts6-13

Table 6-3: 2040 Potential Employment Impacts for Full O&M Only6-16

Table 6-4: 2040 Potential Earnings Impacts for Full O&M Only6-16

Table 6-5: Net Change in Value of Travel Time and Travel Cost Savings by Mode for Diverted Users6-19

Table 6-6: 2040 Annual Intercity Value of Travel Time Savings6-21

Table 6-7: Annual Hours and Auto Diversions to Regional Rail Resulting in User Benefits.....6-22

Table 6-8: User Benefits of Regional Rail Net of No Action Alternative6-22

Table 6-9: 2040 Potential Intercity Travel Cost Impacts by Mode6-24

Table 6-10: Safety Benefits for VMT diverted to Intercity and Regional Rail Service in 2040.....6-25

Table 6-11: Total Value of 2040 Potential Emissions Impacts.....6-25

Table 6-12: 2040 Annual Interregional and Regional Linked Rail Trips6-30

Table 6-13: Summary of Planning by Market6-38

Table 6-14: Range of Pricing/Service Type Options Serving Metropolitan Areas Daily6-40

Table 6-15: Daily Trains Serving Metropolitan Area (Number of Daily Trains at a Hub Station within Metropolitan Area).....6-41

Table 6-16: Number of Locations Accessible via Direct Connections.....6-42

Table 6-17: Frequency of Direct Connections6-43

Table 6-18: Number of Intercity (non-Regional) Routes Traversing Broad New York Region.....6-44

Table 6-19: Shortest Travel Time to New York City.....6-45

Table 6-20: Longest Travel Time to New York City.....6-45

Table 6-21:	Average Number of Daily Trains to New York City per Station	6-46
Table 6-22:	Jobs Accessible in a 30-Minute Train Travel Time	6-48
Table 7-1:	Limits of Affected Environment by Resource	7-iii
Table 7-2:	Chapter Number/Resource Area Considered.....	7-ix
Table 7-3:	Guide to Chapter 7 Resource Areas	7-x
Table 7.1-1:	Summary of Environmental Effects: No Action Alternative	7.1-3
Table 7.1-2:	Summary of Effects (totals)	7.1-6
Table 7.1-3:	Summary of Environmental Effects for New Segments (Alternative 1)	7.1-13
Table 7.1-4:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 2).....	7.1-14
Table 7.1-5:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – Washington, D.C., to New York City)	7.1-17
Table 7.1-6:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford via Central Connecticut)	7.1-18
Table 7.1-7:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford via Long Island)	7.1-19
Table 7.1-8:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston via Providence)	7.1-20
Table 7.1-9:	Summary of Environmental Effects by New Segment (Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston via Worcester)	7.1-21
Table 7.1-10:	Summary of Environmental Effects for New Stations by County for Action Alternatives	7.1-23
Table 7.2-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Land Cover	7.2-2
Table 7.2-2:	Affected Environment: Developed and Undeveloped Land Cover by Action Alternative	7.2-5
Table 7.2-3:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Conversions of Developed and Undeveloped Land.....	7.2-7
Table 7.2-4:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Potential Conversions of Developed Land.....	7.2-8
Table 7.2-5:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Potential Conversions of Undeveloped Land	7.2-8
Table 7.2-6:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Acquisitions	7.2-11
Table 7.2-7:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Potential Acquisitions of Developed Land.....	7.2-11
Table 7.2-8:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Potential Acquisitions of Undeveloped Land.....	7.2-12
Table 7.2-9:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Potential Conversions of Undeveloped Land Cover	7.2-14
Table 7.3-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Agricultural Lands	7.3-2
Table 7.3-2:	Affected Environment: Prime Farmland Acreage	7.3-3
Table 7.3-3:	Affected Environment: Prime Timberland Acreage.....	7.3-4
Table 7.3-4:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Prime Farmland.....	7.3-5
Table 7.3-5:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Prime Farmland	7.3-5
Table 7.3-6:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Prime Timberland	7.3-6

Table 7.3-7: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Prime Timberland7.3-6

Table 7.3-8: Environmental Consequences: Stations – Prime Farmland.....7.3-9

Table 7.3-9: Environmental Consequences: Stations – Prime Timberland7.3-10

Table 7.4-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers7.4-2

Table 7.4-2: Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources within the Affected Environment7.4-4

Table 7.4-3: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands.....7.4-6

Table 7.4-4: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands (Alternative 1)7.4-8

Table 7.4-5: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands (Alternative 2)7.4-9

Table 7.4-6: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Parklands7.4-11

Table 7.4-7: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources (Alternative 3 – Washington, D.C., to New York City)7.4-12

Table 7.4-8: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources (Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford via Central Connecticut)7.4-16

Table 7.4-9: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources (Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford via Long Island)7.4-17

Table 7.4-10: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands and Wild and Scenic Rivers Resources (Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston via Providence).....7.4-18

Table 7.4-11: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Parklands Resources (Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston via Worcester)7.4-19

Table 7.4-12: Environmental Consequences: Stations – Parklands.....7.4-20

Table 7.5-1: Effect-Assessment Methodology Summary: Hydrologic/Water Resources7.5-3

Table 7.5-2: Summary of Water Resources within the Affected Environment by Action Alternative7.5-5

Table 7.5-3: Affected Environment: Water Resources Associated with Freshwater Wetlands by Action Alternative.....7.5-6

Table 7.5-4: Affected Environment: Navigable Waterways Crossed by the Existing NEC and Action Alternatives7.5-7

Table 7.5-5: Affected Environment: Water Resources Associated with Floodplains by Action Alternative7.5-8

Table 7.5-6: Affected Environment: Coastal Resources Associated with Saltwater Wetlands by Action Alternative.....7.5-9

Table 7.5-7: Affected Environment: Coastal Zone Management Act Description Intersected by Action Alternative.....7.5-10

Table 7.5-8: Environmental Consequences: Quantitative Impacts to Water Resources by Action Alternative7.5-17

Table 7.5-9: Environmental Consequences: Water Resources with Greatest Combined Impact within the Action Alternatives7.5-19

Table 7.5-10: Water Quality/Stormwater Regulations by Geography7.5-26

Table 7.6-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Ecological Resources7.6-2

Table 7.6-2: Affected Environment: Total Ecologically Sensitive Habitats.....7.6-4

Table 7.6-3: Affected Environment: List of Threatened and Endangered Species7.6-5

Table 7.6-4: Affected Environment: Threatened and Endangered Species Occurrences7.6-6

Table 7.6-5: Affected Environment: Federally Managed Species’ Essential Fish Habitats.....7.6-7

Table 7.6-6:	Affected Environment: Federally Managed Fish Species (Number of Occurrences)	7.6-8
Table 7.6-7:	National Marine Fisheries Service “Species of Concern”	7.6-10
Table 7.6-8:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Total Ecologically Sensitive Habitats (Acreage)	7.6-11
Table 7.6-9:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Total Ecologically Sensitive Habitats (Acreage)	7.6-11
Table 7.6-10:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Ecologically Sensitive Habitats (Occurrence)	7.6-14
Table 7.6-11:	Environmental Consequences: Ecologically Sensitive Habitats Impacts 10 Percent or Greater	7.6-15
Table 7.6-12:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – T&E Species (Occurrence)	7.6-4
Table 7.6-13:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Federally Managed Fish Species (Number of Occurrences)	7.6-5
Table 7.6-14:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Federally Managed Species (Number of Occurrences)	7.6-5
Table 7.6-15:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route Crossing Impact – Essential Fish Habitat.....	7.6-6
Table 7.6-16:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Essential Fish Habitat (Occurrence)	7.6-9
Table 7.6-17:	Ecologically Sensitive Habitat Acreage with Noise Effects	7.6-11
Table 7.6-18:	Ecologically Sensitive Habitat Acreage with Vibration Effects	7.6-15
Table 7.6-19:	Threatened and Endangered and Federally Managed Fish Species Occurrences with Noise Effects	7.6-18
Table 7.6-20:	Threatened and Endangered and Federally Managed Fish Species Occurrences with Vibration Effects	7.6-20
Table 7.7-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Geologic Resources	7.7-2
Table 7.7-2:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Geologic Resources.....	7.7-4
Table 7.7-3:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Geologic Resources.....	7.7-5
Table 7.7-4:	Environmental Consequences: Stations – Geologic Resources.....	7.7-6
Table 7.8-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodological Summary: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material	7.8-3
Table 7.8-2:	Affected Environment: Total Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites	7.8-4
Table 7.8-3:	Affected Environment: National Priority List Superfund and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Corrective Actions Sites	7.8-5
Table 7.8-4:	Affected Environment: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites of Alternative 3 Route Options	7.8-6
Table 7.8-5:	High-Probability Areas: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Materials Sites	7.8-8
Table 7.8-6:	High-Probability Areas: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites of Alternative 3 Route Options	7.8-10
Table 7.8-7:	Environmental Consequences: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites within the Representative Routes of Action Alternatives	7.8-13
Table 7.8-8:	Environmental Consequences: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Material Sites within the Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options	7.8-15
Table 7.8-9:	Environmental Consequences: Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Materials Sites – Stations	7.8-17

Table 7.9-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Cultural Resources and Historic Properties	7.9-5
Table 7.9-2:	Affected Environment: Cultural Resources and Historic Properties	7.9-7
Table 7.9-3:	Counties of Interest to Federally Recognized Tribes	7.9-8
Table 7.9-4:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Cultural Resources and Historic Properties	7.9-11
Table 7.9-5:	Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Cultural Resources and Historic Properties	7.9-12
Table 7.9-6:	Environmental Consequences: Cultural Resources and Historic Properties Present in Station Locations	7.9-16
Table 7.9-7:	Context Area: National Register of Historic Places and National Historic Landmarks Identified	7.9-18
Table 7.10-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Visual and Aesthetic Resources	7.10-1
Table 7.10-2:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 1	7.10-4
Table 7.10-3:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 2	7.10-4
Table 7.10-4:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (Washington, D.C., to New York City)	7.10-6
Table 7.10-5:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (via Central Connecticut)	7.10-8
Table 7.10-6:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (via Long Island)	7.10-8
Table 7.10-7:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (via Providence)	7.10-9
Table 7.10-8:	Environmental Consequences: Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic Resources – Alternative 3 (via Worcester)	7.10-10
Table 7.11-1:	Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Environmental Justice Populations	7.11-2
Table 7.11-2:	Demographic Characteristics by Region	7.11-3
Table 7.11-3:	Total Population and Total Minority/Low-Income Populations by Geography	7.11-4
Table 7.11-4:	Affected Environment: Total Environmental Justice Populations by Action Alternative	7.11-5
Table 7.11-5:	Affected Environment: Total Environmental Justice Census Tracts by Action Alternative	7.11-5
Table 7.11-6:	Affected Environment: Total Environmental Justice Census Tracts for Alternative 3.....	7.11-6
Table 7.11-7:	Resources Considered for Environmental Justice Assessment	7.11-8
Table 7.11-8:	Alternative 1 – Summary of Potential Effects in Counties where Environmental Justice Census Tracts Exist.....	7.11-9
Table 7.11-9:	Alternative 2: Summary of Potential Effects in Counties where Environmental Justice Census Tracts Exist.....	7.11-10
Table 7.11-10:	Alternative 3 – Washington, D.C., to New York City: Summary of Potential Impacts in Environmental Justice Census Tracts.....	7.11-11
Table 7.11-11:	Alternative 3 – New York City to Hartford: Summary of Potential Effects in Counties where Environmental Justice Census Tracts Exist	7.11-12
Table 7.11-12:	Alternative 3 – Hartford to Boston: Summary of Potential Impacts in Environmental Justice Census Tracts	7.11-13

Table 7.11-13: Context Area: Total Environmental Justice Census Tracts by Action Alternative7.11-16

Table 7.12-1: Methodological Summary: Noise and Vibration7.12-1

Table 7.12-2: Affected Environment: Noise and Vibration – Sensitive Land Uses7.12-3

Table 7.12-3: Affected Environment: Noise and Vibration – Existing Levels.....7.12-4

Table 7.12-4: Environmental Consequences: Noise and Vibration – Future Conditions7.12-7

Table 7.12-5: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Noise – Residential Impacts.....7.12-8

Table 7.12-6: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Noise – Severe Residential Impacts7.12-9

Table 7.12-7: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Noise – Moderate Residential Impacts.....7.12-10

Table 7.12-8: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Vibration – Residential Impacts7.12-11

Table 7.12-9: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Vibration – Residential Impacts.....7.12-12

Table 7.12-10: Environmental Consequences: Affected Environment – Noise – Summary of Related Resources7.12-13

Table 7.12-11: Environmental Consequences: Affected Environment – Vibration – Summary of Related Resources7.12-16

Table 7.13-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Air Quality.....7.13-3

Table 7.13-2: National Ambient Air Quality Standards7.13-5

Table 7.13-3: Affected Environment: Air Quality Attainment Status by State and County.....7.13-8

Table 7.13-4: Affected Environment: Primary Source of Air Pollutants (2011).....7.13-9

Table 7.13-5: 2040 Changes in Criteria Pollutant Burdens (tons/year) – Existing Energy Profile7.13-11

Table 7.13-6: Renewable Energy Targets by Geography.....7.13-12

Table 7.13-7: 2040 Changes in Criteria Pollutant Burdens (tons/year) – Future Energy Profile.....7.13-13

Table 7.13-8: Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Geography (2012).....7.13-17

Table 7.13-9: 2040 Changes in CO₂e (tons/year) – Existing Energy Profile7.13-17

Table 7.13-10: 2040 Changes in CO₂e (tons/year) – Future Energy Profile.....7.13-18

Table 7.14-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Energy.....7.14-2

Table 7.14-2: Energy Consumption per Capita (2012)7.14-3

Table 7.14-3: U.S. Transportation Energy Use by Mode (2012).....7.14-3

Table 7.14-4: 2040 Changes in Energy Use (MMBtu/year)7.14-5

Table 7.14-5: Energy Intensities by Mode (2012).....7.14-6

Table 7.15-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Climate Change and Adaptation.....7.15-3

Table 7.15-2: Flooding and Extreme Temperature-Related Impacts to Rail Assets and Operations7.15-7

Table 7.15-3: Current Climate Conditions: Counties along the Representative Routes of the Action Alternatives at Highest Risk of Inundation7.15-21

Table 7.15-4: Affected Environment (Current, Mid-Century, and End-of-Century Climate Conditions): Stations at Risk of Inundation from One or More Flood Hazards by Action Alternative.....7.15-32

Table 7.15-5: Summary of Potential Climate Change Adaptation Actions for the NEC7.15-41

Table 7.15-6: Overview of the Federal Highway Administration’s Virtual Framework for Vulnerability Assessment’s Modules and Their Application to Tier 2 Analysis7.15-45

Table 7.16-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Section 4(f) Resources7.16-3

Table 7.16-2: Affected Environment: Section 4(f) Resources – Parks, Recreational Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges.....7.16-7

Table 7.16-3: Affected Environment: Section 4(f) Resources – Cultural Resources and Historic Properties7.16-8

Table 7.16-4: Environmental Consequences: Parklands, Recreational Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges7.16-10

Table 7.16-5: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – Parklands, Recreational Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges.....7.16-10

Table 7.16-6: Environmental Consequences: Stations – Parklands, Recreational Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges7.16-11

Table 7.16-7: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route – Cultural and Historic Properties7.16-12

Table 7.16-8: Environmental Consequences: Representative Route of Alternative 3 Route Options – National Register of Historic Places-Listed Resources.....7.16-13

Table 7.16-9: Environmental Consequences: Cultural Resources and Historic Properties Present in Station Locations7.16-15

Table 7.16-10: Context Area: National Register of Historic Places and National Historic Landmarks Identified7.16-18

Table 7.16-11: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Section 6(f) Resources7.16-22

Table 7.16-12: Affected Environment: Section 6(f) Resources.....7.16-23

Table 7.16-13: Affected Environment: Section 6(f) Resources – Alternative 3 Route Options.....7.16-24

Table 7.16-14: Environmental Consequences: Section 6(f) Resources7.16-26

Table 7.16-15: Environmental Consequences: Section 6(f) Resources – Alternative 3 Route Options ..7.16-27

Table 7.17-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Electromagnetic Fields / Electromagnetic Interference7.17-2

Table 7.17-2: Affected Environment: Locations Potentially Sensitive to Electromagnetic Fields/Electromagnetic Interference (Action Alternatives).....7.17-5

Table 7.17-3: Affected Environment: Locations Potentially Sensitive to Electromagnetic Fields/Electromagnetic Interference (Alternative 3 Route Options).....7.17-6

Table 7.17-4: Environmental Consequences: Land Uses Potentially Sensitive to Electromagnetic Fields/Electromagnetic Interference (Action Alternatives).....7.17-7

Table 7.17-5: Environmental Consequences: Land Uses Potentially Sensitive to Electromagnetic Fields/Electromagnetic Interference (Alternative 3).....7.17-7

Table 7.18-1: Effects-Assessment Methodology Summary: Safety7.18-2

Table 7.18-2: Affected Environment: Fatalities by Transportation Mode (2009–2013 Average)7.18-3

Table 7.18-3: Affected Environment: Passenger Accidents (2009–2013)7.18-4

Table 7.18-4: Affected Environment: Rail Accidents (2012).....7.18-5

Table 7.18-5: Affected Environment: Rail Fatalities (2012).....7.18-6

Table 7.18-6: Affected Environment: Rail Fatalities of Trespassers (2012).....7.18-6

Table 7.18-7: Affected Environment: Rail Accidents from Infrastructure or Equipment Failures/Malfunctions (2012)7.18-7

Table 7.18-8: Anticipated Tripmaking by Mode (2040).....7.18-8

Table 7.20-1: Effect-Assessment Methodology Summary: Cumulative Effects7.20-2

Table 7.20-2: Affected Environment: Existing Conditions and Trends of Key Resource Areas7.20-4

Table 7.20-3: Environmental Consequences: Cumulative Effects – Impact of Action Alternatives on Key Resource Areas7.20-8

Table 7.20-4:	Environmental Consequences: Qualitative Assessment of Resources Affected by Other Transportation Projects.....	7.20-14
Table 7.20-5:	Environmental Consequences: Qualitative Assessment of Resources Affected by Non-Transportation Projects.....	7.20-19
Table 7.20-6:	Environmental Consequences: Greatest Potential for Cumulative Effects on Key Resources – Alternative 1.....	7.20-21
Table 7.20-7:	Environmental Consequences: Greatest Potential for Cumulative Effects on Key Resources – Alternative 2.....	7.20-22
Table 7.20-8:	Environmental Consequences: Greatest Potential for Cumulative Effects on Key Resources – Alternative 3.....	7.20-23
Table 8-1:	Typical Sequence of Construction Activities (Action Alternatives).....	8-9
Table 8-2:	Examples of Potential Construction-Related Effects.....	8-10
Table 9-1:	Evaluation Factors and Metrics.....	9-5
Table 9-2:	Rail Service and Practical Capacity at Select Screenlines (Peak Hour, Peak Direction).....	9-9
Table 9-3:	Passenger-Carrying Capacity at Select Screenlines (Peak Hour, Peak Direction).....	9-10
Table 9-4:	Percentage Change over No Action Alternative in Passenger Rail Trips and Miles.....	9-11
Table 9-5:	Alternative 3 Route Options, Percentage Change over No Action Alternative in Annual Intercity and Regional Trips and Passenger Miles.....	9-11
Table 9-6:	Intercity Rail Trips – Select Metropolitan Areas.....	9-13
Table 9-7:	Intercity Rail Trips at Select Metropolitan Areas – Alternative 3 Route Options.....	9-13
Table 9-8:	Total Annual Passenger Rail Trips Diverted from Other Modes (1,000s of Trips).....	9-14
Table 9-9:	Annual Reduction in Vehicle-Miles Traveled Compared to No Action Alternative (millions of vehicle-miles traveled).....	9-14
Table 9-10:	Annual Reduction in Vehicle-Miles Traveled Compared to No Action Alternative – Alternative 3 Route Options (millions of vehicle-miles traveled).....	9-14
Table 9-11:	Daily Trains Serving Airport Stations (total number of trains).....	9-15
Table 9-12:	Air-to-Rail Diversions (Annual Trips).....	9-16
Table 9-13:	Alternative 3 Route Options – Air-to-Rail Diversions (Annual Trips).....	9-16
Table 9-14:	Intercity Daily Service Volumes between Representative Station-Pairs.....	9-16
Table 9-15:	Intercity Daily Service Volumes between Representative Station-Pairs – Connecting Corridors (Number of Trains).....	9-18
Table 9-16:	Average Station-to-Station Travel Time at Selected Stations (in hours and minutes).....	9-20
Table 9-17:	Average Station-to-Station Travel Time at Selected Stations – Alternative 3 Route Options (in hours and minutes).....	9-21
Table 9-18:	Intercity Travel-Time Difference between the No Action Alternative (in minutes).....	9-23
Table 9-19:	Intercity Travel-Time Difference between the No Action Alternative – Alternative 3 Route Options (in minutes).....	9-23
Table 9-20:	Old Saybrook-Kenyon New Segment – At-Grade and Trench Construction Type – Number of Acres of Representative Route at Risk from Inundation.....	9-25
Table 9-21:	New Haven-Hartford-Providence – At-Grade and Trench Construction Type – Number of Acres of Representative Route at Risk from Inundation.....	9-25
Table 9-22:	New York County, NY, to Suffolk County, MA – At-Grade and Trench Construction Type – Number of Acres of Representative Route at Risk from Inundation.....	9-26
Table 9-23:	Affected Environment (Current, Mid-Century, and End-of-Century Climate Conditions): Stations at Risk of Inundation from One or More Flood Hazards by Action Alternative.....	9-26

Table 9-24:	2040 Potential Employment Impacts in the Study Area.....	9-29
Table 9-25:	2040 Annual Travel Market Savings (in \$2014M).....	9-29
Table 9-26:	2040 Annual Travel Market Savings (in \$2014M).....	9-30
Table 9-27:	Jobs Accessible in a 30-Minute Train Travel Time.....	9-30
Table 9-28:	Jobs Accessible in a 30-Minute Train Travel Time – Alternative 3 Route Options.....	9-31
Table 9-29:	Summary of Selected Environmental Consequences by Alternative.....	9-34
Table 9-30:	Summary of Selected Environmental Consequences – Alternative 3 Route Options (end-to-end).....	9-35
Table 9-31:	Capital Cost – No Action Alternative (\$2014 millions).....	9-38
Table 9-32:	Capital Costs – Action Alternatives (\$2014 billions).....	9-38
Table 9-33:	Capital Costs – Alternative 3 Route Options (\$2014 billions) (end-to-end costs).....	9-39
Table 9-34:	Annual Intercity O&M Costs and Revenues by Alternative (\$2014 millions).....	9-39
Table 9-35:	Annual Intercity O&M Costs and Revenues – Alternative 3 Route Options (\$2014 millions).....	9-39
Table 9-36:	Percentage of Route Miles by Construction Type – Washington, D.C., to Boston, MA.....	9-40
Table 9-37:	Summary of Alternatives – Characteristics and Evaluation Factors.....	9-41
Table 10-1:	Universal First Phase Projects – Aging Infrastructure and Major Chokepoints.....	10-4
Table 10-2:	Universal First Phase – Estimated Rail Ridership.....	10-9
Table 10-3:	Universal First Phase – Capital Costs.....	10-10
Table 11-1:	Scoping Meeting Dates and Locations.....	11-3
Table 11-2:	Meetings with State Transportation Agencies and Railroad Operators.....	11-7
Table 11-3:	Meetings with Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Local Government Agencies.....	11-10
Table 11-4:	Regional Resource Meetings.....	11-12
Table 11-5:	Newsletters.....	11-15
Table 11-6:	December Dialogues Workshops Locations.....	11-16
Table 11-7:	April Dialogues Workshops Locations.....	11-17
Table 11-8:	Summary of Feedback on Preliminary Alternative Routes at April Dialogues, 2013.....	11-18
Table 11-9:	Public Open House Locations.....	11-19
Table 11-10:	Station Pop-Up Appearances.....	11-21
Table 11-11:	Economic Development Workshop Locations.....	11-22
Table 11-12:	Presentations to Interested Organizations.....	11-23
Table 13-1:	Frequently Used Terminology.....	13-1
Table 13-2:	Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	13-18

Figures

Figure S-1:	Study Area Map	S-2
Figure S-2:	Alternative 1 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	S-8
Figure S-3:	Alternative 2 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	S-9
Figure S-4:	Alternative 3 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	S-10
Figure S-5:	Percentage of Route Miles by Construction Type – Washington, D.C., to Boston, MA	S-24
Figure 2-1:	Study Area Map	2-4
Figure 2-2:	Illustration of Representative Route, Affected Environment, and Context Area	2-6
Figure 4-1:	Alternatives Development Process	4-2
Figure 4-2:	Initial Alternatives.....	4-4
Figure 4-3:	Study Area Connecting Corridors	4-20
Figure 4-4:	Alternative 1 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	4-47
Figure 4-5:	Alternative 2 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	4-50
Figure 4-6:	Alternative 3 (Chokepoint, New Track, and New Segment Locations).....	4-53
Figure 4-7:	No Action Alternative (Existing NEC through Baltimore)	4-57
Figure 4-8:	No Action Alternative (Existing NEC through New York City).....	4-59
Figure 4-9:	No Action Alternative (Existing NEC through Providence)	4-60
Figure 4-10:	No Action Alternative (Existing NEC near Boston).....	4-61
Figure 4-11:	Alternative 1 (Existing NEC and New Segment through Baltimore).....	4-62
Figure 4-12:	Alternative 1 (Existing NEC and New Segment through New York City Metropolitan Area)	4-63
Figure 4-13:	Alternative 1 (Existing NEC and New Segment near Old Saybrook, CT and Kenyon, RI).....	4-64
Figure 4-14:	Alternative 2 (Existing NEC and New Segment through Maryland and Delaware)	4-66
Figure 4-15:	Alternative 2 (Existing NEC and New Segment through Philadelphia).....	4-67
Figure 4-16:	Alternative 2 (Existing NEC and New Segment through New York City Metropolitan Area)	4-68
Figure 4-17:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through Baltimore)	4-71
Figure 4-18:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through Philadelphia)	4-72
Figure 4-19:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through New York City Metropolitan Area)	4-73
Figure 4-20:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through Providence)	4-76
Figure 4-21:	Alternative 3 (Existing NEC and New Segments through Boston)	4-77
Figure 5-1:	Existing Trips by Mode between Representative Metropolitan-Area Pairs (2012).....	5-10
Figure 5-2:	Highway Vehicle-Miles Traveled (2000–2012)	5-11
Figure 5-3:	Public Transit Annual Passengers (2006–2012).....	5-14
Figure 5-4:	Regional Rail Ridership (2006–2012).....	5-18
Figure 5-5:	Regional Rail Annual Passengers (2000–2012).....	5-18
Figure 5-6:	Intercity Trains Per Day by Representative Station for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040)	5-26
Figure 5-7:	Regional Rail Frequency by Representative Station for the No Action and Action Alternatives (2040)	5-29
Figure 6-1:	Cost of Doing Business Index (2009) along the Action Alternatives.....	6-3

Figure 6-2: 2040 Interregional Passenger Rail Trips6-31

Figure 6-3: 2040 Regional Passenger Rail Trips6-31

Figure 6-4: Number of Stations of Each Category in the NEC FUTURE Station Typology6-36

Figure 7-1: Representative Route, Affected Environment, and Context Area 7-iv

Figure 7.13-1: Relative Particulate Matter Size7.13-6

Figure 7.14-1: Energy Consumption by Sector7.14-4

Figure 7.15-1: Current Climate Conditions (All Flooding Hazards): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Affected Environment along the Existing NEC at Risk.....7.15-12

Figure 7.15-2: Current Climate Conditions (All Flooding Hazards): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route along the Existing NEC at Risk7.15-13

Figure 7.15-3: Current Climate Conditions (Sea Level Rise Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Affected Environment of the Action Alternatives at Risk7.15-14

Figure 7.15-4: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Affected Environment of the Action Alternatives at Risk7.15-15

Figure 7.15-5: Current Climate Conditions (Riverine Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Affected Environment of the Action Alternatives at Risk.....7.15-16

Figure 7.15-6: Current Climate Conditions (Sea Level Rise Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of the Action Alternatives at Risk7.15-18

Figure 7.15-7: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of the Action Alternatives at Risk7.15-19

Figure 7.15-8: Current Climate Conditions (Riverine Flooding): Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of the Action Alternatives at Risk7.15-20

Figure 7.15-9: Current Climate Conditions (All Flooding Hazards): Old Saybrook-Kenyon New Segment – Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of the Existing NEC and Alternative 1 at Risk7.15-23

Figure 7.15-10: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge and Riverine Flooding): Construction Type – Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 1 and the Existing NEC at Risk (Old Saybrook-Kenyon New Segment).....7.15-24

Figure 7.15-11: Current Climate Conditions (All Flooding Hazards): New Haven-Hartford-Providence – Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of Action Alternative 2 and the Existing NEC at Risk.....7.15-26

Figure 7.15-12: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge and Riverine Flooding): New Haven-Hartford-Providence – Construction Type – Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 2 and the Existing NEC at Risk.....7.15-27

Figure 7.15-13: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge Flooding) Cumulative Percentage of the Total Acreage in the Representative Route of Action Alternative 3 (New York County, NY and Suffolk County, MA Route Option)7.15-28

Figure 7.15-14: Current Climate Conditions (Storm Surge Flooding): Construction Type – Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 3 (New York County, NY, and Suffolk County, MA, Route Option) and the Existing NEC at Risk7.15-29

Figure 7.15-15: Current Climate Conditions (Riverine Flooding): Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 3 (New York County, NY, and Suffolk County, MA, Route Option) and the Existing NEC at Risk7.15-30

Figure 7.15-16: Current Climate Conditions (Riverine Flooding): Construction Type – Total Percentage of Representative Route of Alternative 3 (New York County, NY, and Suffolk County, MA, Route Option) and the Existing NEC at Risk7.15-31

Figure 7.15-17: Example of Rail Buckle from Extreme Heat.....7.15-34

Figure 7.15-18: Average Annual Number of Days Equal to or Above 95°F, by Climate Scenario.....7.15-37

Figure 8-1: Percentage of Route Miles by Construction Type – Washington, D.C., to Boston, MA8-2

Figure 8-2: Tunnel Boring Machine8-7

Figure 8-3: Gantry Crane System for Aerial Structure or Major Bridge Construction8-7

Figure 8-4: Typical New Track Construction Machine8-8

Figure 9-1: Number of Stations of Each Category in the NEC FUTURE Station Typology9-33